

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,715	03/30/2001	Jerome L. Elkind	TI-29069	7467
75	590 02/02/2004		EXAM	INER
Mark Courtney of Texas Instruments Incorporated			VANORE, DAVID A	
P.O. Box 65547	74		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MS 3999			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75	5265	2881		
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/823,715	ELKIND ET AL.				
Advisory Notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David A Vanore	2881	MU			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate ext fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by		see NOTE below):				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note It		see NOTE below),				
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the 						
issues for appeal; and/or	in belief form for appear by mat	chany reducing or s	mipmying the			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	,					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 8-20.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:						
	•					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/823,715

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 10 and 21 present the new limitation of an electrically conductive surface plasmon resonance layer. This limitation raises a new issue and would require further consideration and search of at least claims 10 and 21 as well as their respective dependent claims.

JOHN R. LEE
SUPPANSON PATENT ENAMINER
TOOKING GGY OFNIER 2000